DD/S&T-4758-69

MEMORANDUM FOR:

Director of Special Activities

Director of Research and Development

Director of ELINT

Director of Scientific Intelligence

Director of Computer Services

Director of Foreign Missile and Space

Analysis Center

Director of Special Projects

SUBJECT:

Quarterly Review/Forecast - Third Quarter, FY 1970

1. Quarterly Reviews of the Office Status of Funds and forecasts of Third Quarter FY 1970 contract actions are tentatively scheduled as follows:

OCS OSI OSP OEL FMSAC OSA ORD	15 January 1970 15 January 1970 16 January 1970 16 January 1970 19 January 1970 19 January 1970	$   \begin{array}{r}     1115 - 1145 \\     1430 - 1530 \\     1530 - 1630 \\     1430 - 1500 \\     1500 - 1545   \end{array} $	DD/S&T FILE COPY
ORD	20 January 1970		LIFE AND A

- 2. Reviews will be held in the DD/S&T Management Information Center, Room 6E6103.
- 3. We request that presentations be held to the scheduled duration by emphasizing projects and major activities. Individual contracts should be discussed only if they have special interest such as cost, priority, risk, follow-on implications or significant problems.
  - 4. The deadline for CIS input is 30 December 1969.

**OSD REVIEW COMPLETED** 

Comptrollog

25X1

Comptroller
Directorate of
Science and Technology

DD/S&T FILE COPY

Approved For Release 2004/92/24 : CIA-RDF72R004/DR000200200007-8

DD/S&T-4758-69

SUBJECT: Quarterly Review/Forecast - Third Quarter, FY 1970

## Distribution:

- 1 Each Addressee
- 1 Spec. Asst. for R&D Coord/DD/S&T
- 1 C/PMS/DD/S&T
- 1 C/AS/DD/S&T
- 1 Compt/DD/S&T
- 1 C/P&P Br/DD/S&T
- 1 Budget Br/DD/S&T
- 1 MIO/DD/S&T
- 2/- DD/S&T Registry

25X1	O/DD/S&T/Compt/P&P	Br	(10 Dos	cov
20/(1		21	(10 Dec	69)

Approved For Release 2004 02/24 : CIA-RDP72R00410

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR

adm-13,6

25X1

11 December 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR: DD/S&T

- 1. Please draft for my signature a reply to Secretary Packard, saying that we intend to have this U-2 subject before 303 as a matter of policy in the near future: Meanwhile, could we hold in abeyance the conversations with Dr. McLucas until that has occurred.
  - 2. Please let me have this reply promptly.

Richard Helms Director

25X1

cc: DDCI Ex. Dir. D/DCI/NIPE

TOP SEELED



Approved For Release 2004/02/24 : CIA-RDP72R00410R0002002000007-8

## THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

adm - 13,6

9 December 1969

Honorable Richard M. Helms Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Dick,

You and I have discussed the question of consolidation of the CIA and SAC U-2 Fleet. I have today asked John McLucas to prepare for me a plan that consolidates the U-2s in the Strategic Air Command with a view toward using this plan as a basis for further discussion between you and me.

I have asked that this plan be prepared by 15 February 1970. Certainly the value of this planning effort will be enhanced by contributions from your office, and I would invite you to participate in any way you feel appropriate. I shall provide a copy of this letter to John McLucas and suggest that you contact him with regard to working out arrangements.

Sincerely

David Packard

cc: Dr. McLucas

25X1

GROUP 1.

Decladed from automatic

Sampreding and declassification

**ILLEGIB** 

Approved For Release 2004/02/24 : CIA-RDP72R00410R000200200007-8

proved For Release 2004/02/24 : CIA-RDP72R00410R000200200 adm -13,6 SUBJECT: 7.9.I This is the exchange & letters between Helms and Vackard regarding a community review 8 callection systems which may overlap NRP signit System coverage Denderstand liesku and Dross are meeting pproved For Release 2004/02/24 : CIA-RDP7/2R00410R0002002000 Approved For Release 2004/02/24 : CIA-RDP72R00410R000200200007/8

SEGME

5 DEC 1969

The Honorable David Packard Deputy Secretary of Defense Room 3E 921 The Pentagon

Dear Dave:

You will remember that at the last meeting of the Lineative Committee of the NRO I mentioned the desirability of a review of the various activities conducted outside of the NRP which provide SIGNET coverage (or otherwise collect technical data) of targets which are also covered by systems in the NRP. The purpose of such a review would be to try to establish the optimum "min" of collection facilities which we can reasonably afford for the purpose of acquiring information about weapons development and other important subjects in the Soviet Union and China. In determining ILLEGIB this mix we should examine and compare the capabilities of land-based and air-and sea-borne as well as space systems.

My thought in proposing such a review is similar to the suggestion in Les DuBridge's letter to you of October 22, 1960, in which he speaks of the necessity of examining possibilities for reductions in non-NRP programs in order to provide funds to maintain priority projects of the NRP at an appropriate level. The problem, of course, is to identify those projects which are particularly effective (or potentially effective) against priority targets; those projects which are complementary to each other; those which show evidence of redundancy; those which are only marginally effective against priority targets and those which are effective, but only, or primarily, against targets of lesser importance.

In determining which systems to continue and which to reduce or eliminate, it is important to recognise the need for an adequate diagnostic effort before a final decision is made. This

25X1

25X1

includes not only a fair test of a system's technical capabilities but also an adequate appraisal of the value of the data which is acquired once the system has schioved an operational capability.

Conversely, we must of course be prepared to act promptly and decisively once a fair test of system capability or a reaconable diagnostic effort has been completed.

Current pressures to reduce the budget have increased may concern with the over-all cost of national intelligence activities. It seems to me more important than ever to reduce expenditures in non-priority areas and for systems and activities the productivity of which cannot be clearly established in order to ensure the availability of funds for those facilities which we clearly need. Conversely, however, we must be sure that our intelligence capabilities are not impaired.

In this connection I understand that Bob Frochike has recommended reductions and deferrals in Defense Department intelligence programs aggregating comething in excess of So far as I am able to ascertain, these proposed cuts will not degrade the intelligence effort and I believe that further reductions are possible.

With a view to exploring possibilities for additional economies while doing all we can to make sure that we avoid reductions which would have an adverse effect on the capabilities which we really need. I suggest that Bob Froshike and John Bross jointly review our existing programs for such action along the lines which I have outlined above as may seem appropriate to them. I believe that it would be helpful for the National Intelligence Resources Beard to examine any proposal resulting from such action which involves a significant change in the level or allocation of resources from the point of view of its effect on our over-all intelligence capabilities.

Sincerely,

/s/ Richard Helms

					The state of the s	
NIPE:	JAB:DM(3 Dec	69)	Richard	Helms		25X1
	#2 - Addressed		3 411 78	A40		3 3 3
#3 - D						
#4 - D	DCI					
#5)- E	R					F
#6 - N	IPE/subject					
	IRE/chronpolos	- 20044004	IA DDD73D0	0440000000000000	007.0	25X1

25X1

Odn - 13.6



## THE DEPUTY SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D. C. 20301

NOI DE MANO

25

6 December 1969

Honorable Richard M. Helms Director Central Intelligence Agency Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Dick,

I appreciate your note of December 5 concerning a review of SIGINT coverage. Your suggestions match my thoughts on this matter, and I will ask Bob Froehlke to move ahead.

Sincerely,

David Packard

Sopy No. of Copies

GROUP 1

Excluded from sutomatic downgrading and declassification

SECRET

25X

25X1

Cy#/ser C

SECRET